

**Q1. Make a precise of the following passage in about one third of its length and suggest a suitable heading. (20)**

It was not from want of perceiving the beauty of external nature but from the different way of perceiving it, that the early Greeks did not turn their genius to portray, either in colour or in poetry, the outlines, the hues, and contrasts of all fair valley, and hold cliffs, and golden moons, and rosy lawns which their beautiful country affords in lavish abundance.

Primitive people never so far as I know, enjoy when is called the picturesque in nature, wild forests, beetling cliffs, reaches of Alpine snow are with them great hindrances to human intercourse, and difficulties in the way of agriculture. They are furthermore the homes of the enemies of mankind, of the eagle, the wolf, or the tiger, and are most dangerous in times of earthquake or tempest. Hence the grand and striking features of nature are at first looked upon with fear and dislike.

I do not suppose that Greeks different in the respect from other people, except that the frequent occurrence of mountains and forests made agriculture peculiarly difficult and intercourse scanty, thus increasing their dislike for the apparently reckless waste in nature. We have even in Homer a similar feeling as regards the sea, --- the sea that proved the source of all their wealth and the condition of most of their greatness. Before they had learned all this, they called it "the unvintagable sea" and looked upon its shore as merely so much waste land. We can, therefore, easily understand, how in the first beginning of Greek art, the representation of wild landscape would find no place, whereas, fruitful fields did not suggest themselves as more than the ordinary background. Art in those days was struggling with material nature to which it felt a certain antagonism.

There was nothing in the social circumstances of the Greeks to produce any revolution in this attitude during their greatest days. The Greek republics were small towns where the pressure of the city life was not felt. But as soon as the days of the Greeks republics were over, the men began to congregate for imperial purposes into Antioch, or Alexandria, or lastly into Rome, than we seek the effect of noise and dust and smoke and turmoil breaking out into the natural longing for rural rest and retirement so that

1

[www.css.theazkp.com](http://www.css.theazkp.com)  
[www.facebook.com/thecsspoint](https://www.facebook.com/thecsspoint)



**THE CSS POINT**  
You We Can Do It!

**Agha Zuhaib Khan**

from Alexander's day ..... We find all kinds of authors --- epic poets, lyricist, novelists and preachers --- agreeing in the precise of nature, its rich colours, and its varied sounds. Mohaffy. Rambles in Greece

Precis - 2001

Title: Greek's Attitude towards Nature and Art

Ancient Greeks did not pay attention to the natural beauty ~~prevailing~~ everywhere <sup>their</sup> in art. They were not conscious about the landscape of nature and <sup>considered</sup> it a hurdle for agriculture. ~~The~~ Moreover, these areas seemed to be an abode of wild animals and restricted movement. Greeks were akin to other people who considered natural landscape as a barrier <sup>Repetition</sup> in the way of agriculture and other activities. Hence, they <sup>were</sup> are useless for them. Similarly, in the age of Homer, people deemed sea as a waste land until they <sup>became aware</sup> ~~were unaware~~ of its <sup>rich</sup> ~~enrich~~ resources. Therefore, art was in conflict with material nature. Besides, Greeks were unable to bring reforms in their good days. However, when their <sup>and emerging of city life</sup> ~~rule~~ started degenerating, all authors applauded ~~the~~ nature's beauty.

Mistakes identified. Follow the tense of the passage.