

Write a précis of the following passage and suggest
I think modern educational theorists are inclined to attach too much importance to the negative virtue of not interfering with children, and too little to the positive merit of enjoying their company. If you have the sort of liking for children that many people have for horses or dogs, they will be apt to respond to your suggestions, and to accept prohibitions, perhaps with some good-humoured grumbling, but without resentment. It is no use to have the sort of liking that consists in regarding them as a field for valuable social endeavour, or what amounts to the same thing as an outlet for powerful impulses. No child will be grateful for an interest in him that springs from the thought that he will have a vote to be secured for your party or a body to be sacrificed to king and country. The desirable sort of interest is that which consists in spontaneous pleasure in the presence of children, without any ulterior purpose. Teachers who have this quality will seldom need to interfere with children's freedom, but will be able to do so, when necessary, without causing psychological damage.

Unfortunately, it is utterly impossible for over-worked teachers to preserve an instinctive liking for children; they are bound to come to feel towards them as the proverbial confectioner's apprentice does towards macaroons. I do not think that education ought to be anyone's whole profession: it should be undertaken for at most two hours a day by people whose remaining hours are spent away from children. The society of the young is fatiguing, especially when strict discipline is avoided. Fatigue, in the end, produces irritation, which is likely to express itself somehow, whatever theory the harassed teacher may have taught himself or herself to believe. The necessary friendliness cannot be preserved by self-control alone. But where it exists, it should be unnecessary to have rules in advance as to how "naughty" children are to be treated, since impulse is likely to lead to the right decision, and almost any decision will be right if the child feels that you like him. No rules, however wise, are a substitute for affection and tact.

How to make précis? Procedure:

Accompanying children in Modern education

The author opines that theorists of modern education give unnecessary importance to non-interference with the company of children and meagre value to experiencing joy in their company. If someone likes children like animals, they will be responsive and accept sanctions, with little or no resentment. Their company should be enjoyed and any personal interest must be neglected, teacher of this sort will not become a barrier to their freedom, but will become when necessary, without any damage to their psyche. It is not possible for an exhausted teacher to maintain his affection for them. The company of ^{children} young is exhaustive without necessary discipline. The friendliness with children cannot be maintained only by self-control and there should not be presence of rules for dealing with problematic children.

Total number of ^{words} lines in the passage = 384

Total number of words in the precs = 126

Indent the paragraph. Idea and structure are generally ok.