

Year: CSS - 2002

'The official name of our species is homo sapiens; but there are many anthropologists who prefer to think of man as homo Faber-the smith, the maker of tools It would be possible. I think, to reconcile these two definitions in a third. If man is a knower and an efficient doer, it is only because he is also a talker In order to be Faber and Sapiens, Homo must first be loquax, the loquacious one. Without language we should merely be hairless chimpanzees. Indeed we should be some thing much worse. Possessed of a high IQ but no language, we should be like the Yahoos of Gulliver's Travels- Creatures too clever to be guided by instinct, too Self-centered to live in a state of animal grace, and therefore condemned forever, frustrated and malignant, between contented apehood and aspiring'humanity. It was language that made possible the accumulation of knowledge and the broadcasting of information. It was language that permitted the expression of religious insight, the formulation of ethical ideals, the codification to laws, It was language, in a word, that turned us into human beings and gave birth to civilization.

Year 2002

main idea is picked and discussed

1st sentence is too long word count is missing and is written at the end

basis grammar needs attention too
over all average precise 6/20

Humans and their lingual capabilities

Anthropologists describe human beings as doers but the author thinks that ~~language~~ should be one of the elements to define their achievements. He further argues that if humans had high IQs but no ~~means of communications~~ that ~~will be pure waste~~. Everything that humans ~~have achieved~~ is ~~because of their~~ linguistic capabilities.

would have been