Precisa 1971 Themes of poetry Poetry represents a large number personal view, but missues not view, but missues o people's to elaborales He does not thought, and tries to present unclear meaning present issues. It activity and deals with activiley " Social experiences and thoughts. The social aernical are deep and who views thom?? Them with and elaborates in Concise and Social view. Learns about the Social issues through communication instruem through experienced analysis his shadow of and through learned from people themes experience from society millions which he makes on the A political poetry from 1 other (. In does not construct poetry and same point in two different sentences present past incidents. He Represents in A political poetry in his he learns from p own ways essues is a These

subject and object which he cannot cross and remains in limits. He has to exclude from the poetry present and from social. He may be lose himself in a small incident where he is not imaginative. On other sixte he views massive incident with private angle and elobrates in own way which is against social and political themes. Political poetry moves between extremism and history.

One of the best theme is extract from between extremism and history in his angle view.

In political poetry a poet explains issues with respect to social and political perspectives.

Total words - 762

Precis words - 257

whole precise is incoherent and has no clear composed meaning need improvement be precise and to the point and there is no versatility in the content at all 0/20 not satisfactory

The essence of poetry is that it deals with events which concern a large number of people and can be grasped not as immediate personal experience but as matter known largely from heresy and presented in simplified and often abstract forms. it is thus the antithesis of all poetry which deals with the special, individual activity of the self and tries to present this as specially and as individually as it can. The poet who deals with public themes may himself be affected, even deeply, by contemporary events at some point in his own being, but to see them in their breadth and depth he must rely largely on what he hears from other men and from mass instruments of communication. From the start his impulse to write about them is different from any impulse to write about his own affairs. It may be just as strong and just as compelling, but it is not of the same kind. He has to give his own version of something which millions of others may share with him, and however individual he may wish to be, he cannot avoid relying to a large extent on much that he knows only from second hand.

Fundamentally this may not matter, for after all what else did Shakespeare do: but the political poet does not construct an imaginary past, he attempts to grasp and interpret a vast present. Between him and his subject there is a gap which he can never completely cross, and all his attempts to make events part of himself must be to some extent hampered by recalcitrant elements in them, which he does not understand or cannot assimilate or find irrelevant to his creative task. In such poetry selection which is indispensable to all art, has to be made from an unusually large field of possibilities and guided by an exacting sense of what really matters and what does not. On one side he may try to include too much and lose himself in issues where be is not imaginatively at home, on the other side he may see some huge event merely from a private angle which teed not mean much to others. Political poetry oscillates between these extremes, and its history in our time has been largely attempts to make the best of one or the other of them or to see what compromises can be made between them.