

PRÉCIS

Central Superior Services Examination (CSS) 2015

Passage.

In studying the breakdowns of civilizations, the writer has subscribed to the conclusion - no new discovery! - that war has proved to have been the proximate cause of the breakdown of every civilization which is known for certain to have broken down, in so far as it has been possible to analyze the nature of these breakdowns and to account for their occurrence. Like other evils, war has an insidious way of appearing not intolerable until it has secured such a stranglehold upon the lives of its addicts that they no longer have the power to escape from its grip when its deadliness has become manifest. In the early stages of a civilization's growth, the cost of wars in suffering and destruction might seem to be exceeded by the benefits accruing from the winning of wealth and power and the cultivation of the "military virtues"; and, in this phase of history, states have often found themselves able to indulge in war with one another with something like impunity even for the defeated party. War does not begin to reveal its malignity till the war-making society has begun to increase its economic ability to exploit physical nature and its political ability to organize man-power; but, as soon as this happens, the god of war to which the growing society has long since been dedicated proves himself a Moloch by devouring an ever larger share of the increasing fruits of man's industry and intelligence in the process of taking an ever larger toll of life and happiness; and, when the society's growth in efficiency reaches a point at which it becomes capable of mobilizing a lethal quantum of its energies and resources for military use, then war reveals itself as being a cancer which is bound to prove fatal to its victim unless he can cut it out and cast it from him, since its malignant tissues have now learnt to grow faster than the healthy tissues on which they feed. In the past, when this danger-point in the history of the relations between war and civilization has been reached and recognized, serious efforts have sometimes been made to get rid of war in time to save society, and these endeavours have been apt to take one or other of two alternative directions. Salvation cannot, of course, be sought anywhere except in the working of the consciences of individual human beings; but individuals have a choice between trying to achieve their aims through direct action as private citizens and trying to achieve them through indirect action as citizens of states. A personal refusal to lend himself in any way to any war waged by his state for any purpose and in any circumstances is a line of attack against the institution of war that is likely to appeal to an ardent and self-sacrificing nature; by comparison, the alternative peace strategy of seeking to persuade and accustom governments to combine in jointly resisting aggression when it comes and in trying to remove its stimuli before hand may seem a circuitous and unheroic line of attack on the problem. Yet experience up to date indicates unmistakably, in the present writer's opinion, that the second of these two hard roads is by far the more promising

(175)

6 20
 1 1
 6 8
 1 7 4
 2.3 8

Final Draft

Harmful Impacts of War
(Title with Marker)

According to ^{the} author, war is ^a major cause of breakdown of societies. This is his conclusion after analyzing breakdown of several societies.

incoherent sentence and it is misappropriately interpreted

At the start, its harmful impacts are invisible to those who are involved in it. They begin to realize harmful consequences, ^{but till} when they are unable to escape the grip of war. Earlier civilizations used to fight when advantages of winning were more than damages of war.

escape its grip.

~~These days, impunity causes war.~~ A country realizes the severe consequence, when war devastates its progress; ~~during the progress~~ which made it wage a war. War is like a cancer. It spreads quickly in society. Attempts have been made to eradicate war from society.

One way to root out war is to act in direct and personal manners, while the other way is to act in indirect manners as a citizen, the second way seems better.

this sentence is unclear in meaning and is a bit long too

main idea is picked and discussed but the expression is weak and incoherent need improvement in basic grammar and sentences

sentences are not clear