different in our fickleness of character.

From Plato to Tolstoi art has been accused of exciting our emotions and thus of From Plato to Tolstoi art has been accused of excused of Poetical imagination, disturbing the order and harmony of our moral life." Poetical imagination, disturbing the order and harmony of our more and anger, of desire and pain according to Plato, waters our experience of lust and anger, of desire and pain according to Plato, waters our experience of last with drought. "Tolstoi sees in and makes them grow when they ought to starve with drought. "Tolstoi sees in and makes them grow when they ought to start a sign of art, but the art a source of infection, "Not only in infection," he says, is a sign of art, but the art a source of infection. "Not only in infection, degree of infectiousness also the sole measure of excellence in art But the flaw in degree of infectiousness also the sole measure a fundamental moment of art, the moment of form. The aesthetic experience – the experience of contemplation- is a different state of mind from the coolness of our theoretical and the sobriety of our moral judgment. It is filled with the liveliest energies of passion, but passion itself is here transformed both in its nature and in its meaning. Wordsworth defines poetry as "emotion recollected in tranquility'. But the tranquility we feel in great poetry is not that of recollection. The emotions aroused by the poet do not belong to a remote past. They are "here"- alive and immediate. We are aware of their full strength, but this strength tends in a new direction. It is rather seen than immediately felt. Our passions are no longer dark and impenetrable powers; they become, as it were, transparent. Shakespeare never gives us an aesthetic theory. He does not speculate about the nature of art. Yet in the only passage in which he speaks of the character and functions of dramatic art the whole stress is laid upon this point.," The purpose of playing," as Hamlet explains, " both at the first and now, was and is, to hold, as, it were, the mirror up to nature; to show virtue her own feature, scorn her own image, and the very age and body of the time, his form and pressure." But the image of the passion is not the passion itself. The poet who represents a passion does not infect us with this passion. At a Shakespeare play we are not infected with the ambition of Macbeth, with the cruelty of Richard III or with the jealously of Othello. We are not at the mercy of these emotions; we look through them; we seem to penetrate into their very nature and essence. In this respect Shakespeare's theory of dramatic art, if he had such a theory, is in complete agreement with the conception of the fine arts of the great painters and sculptors.)

monality of human being the brilliana possible way Shakered dramatic nothing Intection ambitious ideal of made manners, Mordsworth considers and poets Tolstoi. Plato poetry 30 emotions always hit 3 the 7 ast! It is acks reauly -Keel. 7 appecting have BN 3 seaders of So part has 'Fine Art' emotions and highlights deplets HA with poetsy as the recollection of readors the peace we playing Ast theoray thus. greedy, angly Lee Title: Different Notions about thoughts Made to peace. But emotions matches deal 5 nature of spread of Enfection considers TO T \$ کچ all S theory present. 5 thus not dependent possions Š blamed of t Considers poetical does not era or not moten. was emotions and objective 3 their objective. P But Shake pease's Talstoi will DX man more that B was from the different Has art 8 He g beauty While people sytems May Dayle est. And 280 that P کی de 8



