

Our instinctive apparatus consists of two parts — the one tending to further our own life and that of our descendants, the other tending to thwart the lives of supposed rivals. The first includes the joy of life, and love and art, which is psychologically an offshoot of love. The second includes the competition, patriotism and war. Conventional morality does everything to suppress the first and encourage the second. True morality would be the exact opposite. Our dealings with those whom we love may be safely left to instinct; it is our dealings with those whom we hate that ought to be brought under the dominion of reason. In the modern world those whom we effectively hate are distant groups, especially foreign nations. We conceive them abstractly, and deceive themselves into the belief that acts which are really embodiments of hatred are done from love of justice or some such lofty motive. Only a large measure of skeptical can tear away the veils which hide the truth from us. Having achieved that, we could begin to build a new vocabulary, not based on envy and restriction, but on a wish for a full life and the realization that other human beings are a help and not a hindrance when ones madness of envy has been cured. This is no impossibly austere morality, yet its adoption would turn our earth into a paradise. (231 words)

SUITABLE TITLE: Conventional vs true morality

PRECIS:- Two parts of instinct are opposite to each other. One focuses on life of peace and love while other focuses on life of enmity and hatred. Conventional morality encourages latter while true morality supports former. Logics are applied to whom one hates while loved ones are left unchecked. Conventional morality also resulted in hatred for other states. The behaviour towards nations shall be guided by reality not instincts. This is only possible when true morality is achieved which will make earth peaceful.

(WORD COUNT = 80)