

task which requires the 2/3rd majority of the parliament.

In contrast, the Head of the government in Parliamentary system can be removed through vote of no confidence by simple majority. This makes the PM of the government directly answerable to the parliament which makes him vulnerable to autocratic tendencies.

Inclusivity

In Presidential system, the power is vested in a single individual or office hence there is no concept of coalition. On the opposite side,

In the Parliamentary democracy, governments are usually formed by the coalitions. They give share to smaller political entities in the government who are part of coalition.

For example: the current government in Pakistan is a coalition government in which political parties from all provinces hold a share.

Suitable for heterogeneous population
Parliamentary form of government is more suitable to heterogeneous societies because of its inclusivity. All major parties get their share in power.

resides with one person, who can represent only one portion of the diverse society leaving the others deprived of representation in power.

For example:

If there is presidential form of government in Pakistan, the President is likely to be elected from Punjab, leaving the other provinces without representation in the power.

Conclusion:

Presidential system and Parliamentary system both has flaws and strengths evident from the discussion. Presidential system makes the decision making process easier and is stable and strong government. But, since the power vests in single person who is not subject to direct control of the legislature, a strength which may turn the president into an autocrat. On the other hand, Parliamentary system politically suitable for heterogeneous societies may not make strong government. Given the current political scenario in Pakistan, the Presidential system is ~~not a threat~~ ~~to~~ suitable for Pakistan as it is a threat to the vested interest of the corrupt political parties of our country. Presidential system failed in the past only because of military dictators who had designed them according to their own requirements to sustain themselves in