The modern world looks to many like a dystopia — a version of "the darkest timeline", to borrow a term from the American sitcom Community. Whose dystopia, though? Which writer best imagined this moment of turmoil and dysfunction? The greatest contributions to the tradition of dystopian fiction are two defining masterpieces from the 20th century, both of them bestsellers at the time and ever since: Aldous Huxley's 1932 Brave New World and George Orwell's 1949 Nineteen Eighty-Four. The two dystopias have many details in common. Both writers saw a future shaped by weapons of mass destruction biological and chemical weapons in Huxley's case, nuclear war in Orwell's. They agreed about the danger of permanent social stratification, with humanity divided into categories determined by biological engineering and psychological conditioning (Huxley) or traditional class combined with totalitarian loyalty systems (Orwell). Both men imagined future societies completely obsessed with sex, though in diametrically opposite ways: state-enforced repression and celibacy in the case of Orwell; deliberate, narcotising promiscuity in the case of Huxley. Both men thought the future would be dominated by America.

Both men thought that future governments would spend a lot of effort permanently trying to incite economic consumption — not that either man thought of anything as wildly fantastical as quantitative easing. Both began their books with a short sentence designed to signal a world which was familiar but also disconcertingly futuristic: "A squat grey building of only thirty-four stories," begins Brave New World. We are supposed to gasp with amazement at the "only". Nineteen Eighty-Four begins: "It was a bright cold day in April, and the clocks were striking thirteen." Thirteen! The horror! Both men were writing warnings: "the message of the book", said Huxley, was, "This is possible: for heaven's sake be careful about it." In his vision, humanity was facing a future world tranquilised by pleasure and drugs and the voluntary distractions of "civilised infantilisation".











For Orwell, humanity was facing a permanent state of war and totalitarian mind-control, summed up by the image of "a boot stamping on a human face, for ever". For all the overlap, though, they are usually seen as contradictory, conflicting versions of the future.

Scanned with CamScanner

Title & form Versions of the Future
The modern world books to many like a
dystopia, cont greatest contributions of which
dystopia, cont greatest contributions of which are of Aldons Huxley's 1932 Brave New World and George Drivell's 1949 Vineteen
World and George Drivell's 1949 Wineteen
eighty-Four Both writers agreed-sow a juliure shaped by weapons of mass destruction and also agreed upon the danger of permanent
shaped by weapons of mass destruction and
also agreed upon the danger of permanent
social stratitication by biological engineering and psychological conditioning (Huality) or
and psychological conditioning (Husley) or
traditional class combined with totalitarian
loyally systems (Orwell). Buth men inaugined the future societies completely obsessed
the future societies completely obsessed
with sex state enforced repressions delibary,
delibrate and narcolising phonissuity. Both
thought the julie being dominated by
- America and also that the governments
would spend a lot cet effort permanently
trying to indite econfic consumption. Food all
the ovelap, though, they are usually seen
as confideratory, conflicting versions

Date_			

pleasure, drug of a civilised duture was	sture. For Hu	Hey tuture wa	o at
pleasure, drug	s and the	volutary distra	chions
at a civilised	intantilisation	n", whereas, for	Orwell.
tuture was	at totalstaria	nism and a	J
permanent stat	e of war.		90 AVE
	7	150 Words	