









Original Passage

On the question of freedom in education, there are at present three main schools of thought, deriving partly from differences as to ends and partly from differences in psychological theory. There are those who say that children should be completely free, however bad they may be; there are those who say they should be completely subject to authority, however good they may be; and there are those who say they should be free, but in spite on freedom they **should be always good.** This last party is larger than it has any logical right to be; children, like adults, will not all be virtuous if they are all free. The belief that liberty will ensure moral perfection is a relic of Rousseauism and would not survive a study of animals and babies. Those who hold this belief think that education should have no positive purpose but should merely offer an environment suitable for spontaneous development. I cannot agree with this school, which seems to me too individualistic and unduly indifferent to the importance of

















development. I cannot agree with this school, which seems to me too individualistic and unduly indifferent to the importance of knowledge. We live in communities which require cooperation, and it would be utopian to expect all the necessary cooperation to result from spontaneous impulse. The existence of a large population on a limited area is only possible owing to science and technique; education must, therefore, hand on the necessary minimum of these. The educators who allow most freedom are men whose success depends upon a degree of benevolence, self-control, and trained intelligence, which can hardly be generated where every impulse is left unchecked; their merits, therefore, are not likely to be perpetuated if their methods are undiluted. Education, viewed from a social standpoint, must be something more positive than a mere opportunity for growth. It must, of course, provide this, but it must also provide a mental and moral equipment which children cannot acquire entirely for themselves.

MANAGE Title: Schools of Thought on Freedom in Education Precis, The culther mentions three schools of thoughts about freedom in edecation. The first advocates complete freedom regardless of children's behaviour The second believes in absolute authority over children. And me third believes in freedom that will ensure moral perfection. Unlike Rousseaul , The author disagrees with me that last perspective as it ss not favourable to animals and o all elildren. The author considers it individualistic as it negates the importance of knowledge For him, being socal arimal, majo man needs cooperation which cannot be acquired spontaneously. He argues from socalats! perspective that education besides growth and development, education must equip children morally and mentally. Total words:100