

Philosophy

Q) Discuss Mill's Method of Induction. Illustrate with suitable examples.

Ans) Background

John Stuart Mill's "Method of Induction", expanded and modernized Francis Bacon's view of inductive science. These are five basic rules for making induction based on causal inferences. These were first described in the 1848 edition of Mill's classic 'A System of Logic'.

Mill's Methods of Induction

First Canon: The Method of Agreement

If two or more instances of the phenomenon under investigation have only one circumstance in common, the circumstance in which alone all the instances agree, is the cause (or effect) of the given phenomenon.

E.g. If cookies were stolen only when Kashif is present in a group of children, one would suspect Kashif as the thief.

Second Canon: The method of Difference

If two or more instances of the phenomenon under investigation, differ from the ^{each} other, ~~instance~~ under different circumstances.

For example, cookies are always missing except on days when Kashif is not present, this makes Kashif a suspect for theft. This means that

In one instance cookies go missing when kashif is present, and ~~are~~ the other instance where the cookies are safe when kashif is absent. This makes kashif the thief.

Third Canon: The joint method of agreement and difference

If two or more instances in which the phenomenon occur have only one circumstance in common, while two or more instances in which it does not occur.

The circumstance in which alone the two sets of instances differ, is the effect/cause/part of the cause, of the phenomenon.

E.g. Cookies are always missing whenever kashif is in a group of children, and never when kashif is missing one or more times from those groups. And if these circumstances don't apply to other children, meaning cookies are missing regardless of their presence, or absence, means that kashif is the thief.

Fourth Canon: The Method of Residues

Eliminate/discard instances ^{known} about a phenomenon and ~~remainder~~ ^{remainder}/residue is the cause/effect of a phenomenon.

E.g. New cookies appeared in the cookie jar, shortly after Hira, Zeenat and Fatima arrived for the party. It is known that Hira brought chips, and ~~fat~~ Fatima brought pastries. Therefore, Zeenat must have brought cookies.

Fifth Canon: The Method of Concomitant Variations
Variation in one phenomenon occurs, whenever there is a variation in another phenomenon, then that phenomenon is cause/effect/partial.

E.g. If the number of cookies disappearing is proportional to the number of crumbs on Kashif's shirt, and if no similar correspondence is found among other children, then Kashif is the thief.

Criticisms

Complexity of the cases

This method of induction is not very effective when the complexity of the case increases. Meaning that if there are multiple events/instances related to a phenomenon then the effectiveness of this method greatly decreases.

Depended on the events catalogue

The limitation of this method lies in the completeness of the cataloguing of events. This means that if the events/instances of a particular phenomenon are not fully recorded/documentated then it prevents the individual from reaching an accurate conclusion.

Conclusion

In conclusion, Mill's method may seem like 'common sense', however, before this not many people were aware of common sense. Therefore, his work brought these rules to light.