

# Precis and Composition 2023

there is no sense of this precise  
non of the sentences make any sense  
0/20 not satisfactory  
word count is missing too

~~Title:~~

psychological theories about freedom  
of education ✓

main idea is picked but  
unable to explain

~~Precis:~~

According <sup>five</sup> author, ~~at present~~ there are  
three schools of <sup>about???</sup> thought. First shows children should be free. Second  
shows it depends on authority and Third  
shows they are free but author says  
any one though may be good or bad.

there is no  
sense of this  
sentence  
unclear  
sentences

Third school of thought is more logical.  
liberty and learning behavior and this  
belief gives an environment which  
suitable for growth. Author says he cannot  
agree with that because he says the  
man is social animal and  
knowledge has more value near him.

near who???

Education becomes the Population  
important with techniques. And through  
it, students become intelligent and learn  
many methods which help them to  
produce opportunity and give moral equipment.

## PART-II

**Q.2. Write a précis of the following passage in about 120 words and also suggest a suitable title: (20)**

On the question of freedom in education there are at present three main schools of the night, deriving partly from differences as to ends and partly from differences in psychological theory. There are those who say that children should be completely free, however bad they may be; there are those who say they should be completely subject to authority, however good they may be; and there are those who say they should be free, but in spite of freedom they should be always good. This last party is larger than it has any logical right to be; children, like adults, will not all be virtuous if they are all free. The belief that liberty will ensure moral perfection is a relic of Rousseauism, and would not survive a study of animals and babies. Those who hold this belief think that education should have no positive purpose, but should merely offer an environment suitable for spontaneous development. I cannot agree with this school, which seems to me too individualistic, and unduly indifferent to the importance of knowledge. We live in communities which require co-operation, and it would be utopian to expect all the necessary co-operation to result from spontaneous impulse. The existence of a large population on a limited area is only possible owing to source and technique; education must, therefore, hand on the necessary minimum of these. The educators who allow most freedom are men whose success depends upon a degree of benevolence, self-control, and trained intelligence which can hardly be generated where every impulse is left unchecked; their merits, therefore, are not likely to be perpetuated if their methods are undiluted. Education, viewed from a social standpoint, must be something more positive than a mere opportunity for growth. It must, of course, provide this, but it must also provide a mental and moral equipment which children cannot acquire entirely for themselves.