



Has traditional diplomacy failed us?

Outline

1- Introduction

Thesis statement: Undoubtedly, traditional diplomacy has failed us. However, there are some school of thoughts who disagree it, but their arguments are not fully supportive. This shows that traditional diplomacy has not succeeded us.

2- Understanding the notion of traditional diplomacy

3- Traditional diplomacy has failed us (Thesis)

- Intensive Russia Ukraine war due to economic importance
- Kashmir conflict between India and Pakistan poses a threat to humanity
- Aggressive diplomacy of Saudi-Iran due to sectarianism
- Formation of alliances and anti-alliances globally
- Growing US-Sino trade and currency war

4- Traditional diplomacy has succeeded us (Anti-thesis)

- Economic sanctions by the US and European countries has stopped Russian aggression
- Coneva convention has guarded ~~self-right~~ ^{Right to} self-determination

c) China's successful diplomacy ensured peace in the Middle East

d) Economic, strategic, and political collaboration among countries

e) China's tendency towards global south development

5- Traditional diplomacy has still failed as ^{Synthesis}

a) Russia's increase defense budget calls nuclear proliferation

b) G-20 Summit in AIK violates international commitment

c) Saudi-Iran rivalry aims at creating hegemony over the Middle East

d) The world has growing tendency towards war

e) US-Sino competition has imposed restriction and sanctions on each other

6- Conclusion