

Question 25 What is the essence of 'moral equivalence' whereas was has no moral justification? Was has no moral écustificafrom however, the essence of moral equivalence' in the above parage is the moral equality of two states in tesms of waging of was. Fuelher more, there is no noval equivalence between courties when it comes to war for the sake of country's security. (xuesti on 3: Why do countries occupied and under the tutelage of hyperpower have no peace? The countries occupied and power have no peace

because they are occupied and administered according to the objectives of those who capture them. These countries are not you by of democracy, in which the government belongs to and metigated. Rather, such upon the assival of wastically who killed countless moients in order to achieve so-called cantries objective. Hence, the the tutelegge of the hyperpour have no peace Question 4: Arguably Europe and hyperpower US are at cross purposes over the concept of was. Are they? Europe and hyperpower US are

(5) at exoss purposes over the concept of was because Enage is somehow ready to consel over word issue but Us does not Despite woold anti was protests, Anerica has decided to wage war portrayed a regative repower of 65. One of the hosts said that there is no noral equivalence between the US and Belgium. Therefore, in this way it can be algred that Endope and assertive Us all 1 cxoss purposes over the writept of war. Question 5: What Tony Blairs meant by wise coursel, and did it prevoil? Tony Blair suggested inte counsel' as option against typerpower. ans are relevant and satisfactory need improvement in sentences and grammar be precis and to the point 8.20

