

Zirwah Youaf

Essay

Why China and India's Populism Threatens the world order ?

Outline :

1) Introduction

The rising Chinese and Indian populism threatens the world order because both states hold the potential to change the global course of action or influence the overall politics going on in the world.

2) Populism at state level : China and India

3) Why does the Chinese populism threaten the world order ?

a) Holds the tendency of shifting the polarity of the world

b) Growing populism of China threatens the global power : Thucydides Trap

c) China's confucian civilization model threatens the western civilization :

The Clash of Civilizations

d) China's populism as a voice of Global South threatens the North

e) Chinese populism influencing global economic activities : De-dollarization

f) Chinese model of interdependence hurts the global system of exploitation

DATE: 1/1

4) Why does Indian Populism threaten the world order?

- a) India's populism is normalizing conservative nationalism
- b) Rising Hindu nationalism threatens global peace: Murder of Indian minorities abroad
- c) India's ideology of Akhand Bharat challenges the sovereignty of states
 - d) strongly threatens regional powers while emerging as a strong contender
 - e) India's populism in west: Alliances threaten China
- f) Demagoguery cloaked in the guise of Indian populism: A threat to the smooth world functioning.

5) Conclusion

Why China and India's populism threatens the world order?

The world has witnessed many emerging and falling powers in the history. The increasing influence of those states in the globe indirectly predicted their strength. According to that metric, China and India are the two emerging powers in the globe due to their own respective reasons. However their populism in the world threatens the world order.

DATE: 1/1

due to various reasons. Firstly, the external fears of how the world would be affected by their rise, and then their own ideologies that lead them to act the way they are doing. Both the countries have gotten popular in the world with multiple allies and a powerful image but their populism is threatening specially for their adversaries. This is because their rise threatens the world order, as for one power to rise, another has to fall. This implies that India and China's populism will lead to strengthening them more and as a result they will completely or partially change the world order.

The changing status quo is always threatening for the ones in power hence both the countries' populism threatens the world order.

Populism is usually discussed at an individual level where a leader has a huge following and support. However, when it comes to states, it seems like a huge following of states is there. In the light of India and China's populism, there have been multiple instances and strategic agreements that show that some states are the allies of India while

DATE: 1/1

others support China. Both the states have enemies or competitors and their rising popularity reflected as populism is ultimately a proof to what power these states hold and as a result both the states, individually, threaten the world order.

China holds a huge tendency of moulding the overall world order because of its economic and political clout. Along with that, its influence on the technological sector and being the cheapest manufacturers gives it an edge in world politics. As a result the populism of China and its economic strength makes it able enough to steer the world order and this threatens the adversaries as the world order is according to them. China's capability of playing the good cop and gaining support also threatens the world order as the world that had become unipolar after the disintegration of USSR once again seems like dividing into multiple poles.

Resultantly, the growing populism of China in the world threatens the global peace as it wants to retain its position. According to the Thucydides

DATE: 1/1

Trap phenomenon, the global powers strive to stay in power and if another emerging power emerges to an extent that it becomes a competition, the world becomes unstable. Hence, China's populism and strength is a direct threat to America's position and the world order and in the efforts to keep the world order constant, the world might go through massive economic, political and strategic dents. Hence, this populism is a threat to world order.

It is not only about what state rules the world but it seems like it is a clash of civilizations as Henry Kissinger mentioned Samuel P. Huntington mentioned. China internally supports and is working on building a confucian civilization while on the contrary the world is quite apt with the western civilization. The rise of China and confucian civilization with a popular support can be a threat to the western civilization and as a result it is threatening the world order. In short, the clash of civilizations might change the world order where confucian civilization becomes the new model of the

DATE: 1/1

world and everything that changes the world order is a threat to it.

Another reason why China's populism is a threat to world order is because in the recent years after the climate crisis and the ongoing humanitarian crisis in Gaza, China has become the voice of Global South. This means that China has started emerging as the leader of developing and underdeveloped countries where it provides the people and states with multiple opportunities.

As a result, China seemingly the well-wisher has emerged as a representative of Global South now penetrating it to Middle East as well. This threatens the US' hegemony and ultimately the world order because the South is no longer going to be oppressed by the west instead have its own block.

Following the same pattern, China has introduced multiple economic reforms and ideas which show how China's populism threatens the world order.

China has started opting the option of de-dollarization by promoting trade in local currencies. This has been coupled with another initiative of

DATE: - / - / -

New Development Bank. This is because China wants the world or at least the south to come out from the dependency of dollar and international monetary forums. However, despite gaining popular support, this hurt the interest of the west as de-dollarization would ultimately impact their progress and in the longer change the world order.

Lastly, China introduced the model of economic interdependence instead of exploitation. Multiple projects of China like OBOR etc were meant for geo-economic posturing and by taking various countries on board, China has won that popular sentiment. This idea of economic interdependence has come out to awaken the ones being exploited by the western powers. The states that are rich in rare earth metals and oil are getting the cost of labour while the west exploits them. The same product is sold to them expensive after refining. China has shed light over this injustice exposing the western agendas which as a result ripple the west's interest and extend to a change in world order by shifting the

global hegemon.

Unlike China's, the world is not afraid of India's success but it is the ideologies and policies of India that threaten the world order. Recent years have seen India's populism in the world with a certain diplomatic posturing. However, the reality is that India's populism is normalizing the rightist school of nationalism. It is a fact that when patriotism converts to nationalism it brings with it destruction. The Indian idea of superiority is influencing other conservative schools across the world due to popularity and it will be the stepping stone of changing the globalized world to divided nations. That is why India's populism threatens the world order as it has a huge tendency to negatively impact the world order.

Not only the world order but also the global peace is at stake due to rising Hindu nationalism. This is because rising Hindu nationalism has perpetrated the hate for minorities among masses and many cases of killings of Sikhs

DATE: 1/1

minorities outside India have been reported. The recent Sikh murder in Canada and the strong reaction by the state shows how threatening the Hinduization of India is getting for the world. India is promoting its culture through multiple festivals etc but seems to use its popular base to build Hindu civilization which again becomes a case of clash of civilizations threatening the current world order.

India also aims at building the greater India also known as akhand Bharat which means that it wants to take in all the pieces of land back that were a part of the sub-continent before colonization. The India's populism as a result threatens the world order and simultaneously the sovereignty of neighbouring states like Pakistan, Bangladesh, Myanmar, Nepal etc. This threat read by these states leads to strengthening security, ammunition, nuclear weapons etc which leads to a chance of a greater war that can mess the whole world order. In short, India's populism itself is a threat because apparently no goals

DATE: 1/1

it wants to achieve cannot be attained without threatening or changing the existing world order.

Apart from the ideology, India has worked hard in technology and industrial sector and that is why it has gained popularity in the world. However, India's populism becomes a direct threat for the regional powers like China. As a result this populism and strength eventually threatens the regional peace because if two regional powers compete there will be a huge division within the region.

The fact that both states' populism threatens the world order but they have individual water and territorial issues proves that the rise of one is a threat to the other. Apart from China, India's populism threatens the sovereignty of Pakistan as the support bank is with India and this threat the peace once again.

Not only regionally but also globally India has created a strong popular base through diplomatic posturing. That is why western states quite impressed with it or having no other viable option

DATE: - / - / -

created alliance with India. India signed multiple economic, naval and military agreements with the west of which QUAD and AUKUS are the highlighted ones. However, this not only makes India an important actor but also allows the US to maintain its presence in the region. In short, the rising populism of India in the globe shows that it can eventually change the world order because being an intermediary, a lot depends on it.

Let alone the world populism, it won't be wrong that India has gained all this popularity by cloaking the demagogery in the guise of populism. India's populism is not real instead an amalgamation of manipulation and disinformation that makes India look like the good guy in the story. On the contrary, India has become a breeding space for religious intolerance, extremism and nationalism which make it void of the claim that it is a secular state. India is a demagogue in the political arena, however the world has either closed its eyes to the atrocities and inequalities

DATE: 1/1

taking place here or does not have any other option.

To conclude, both the countries India and China are gaining popularity and support globally and they can use this influence to change the world order. Their ability to change the world order threatens the global and regional powers because they can over turn the status quo with the populist base they have. China has an economic edge and support of the weaker sector, while India relies on its secular positioning and support of the west. However, on the contrary, all that glitters is not gold, and there are multiple interests behind creating a populist base including the creation of a complete civilization etc. That is why the agendas behind the states makes their populism threaten the world order, as the global politics is likely to be shifted to Asia if these states want.

Name : Zirwah Yousaf

Essay

Inflation has Crippled our Socio-Economic Progress

Outline :

1) Introduction :

Inflation has been witnessed globally over the past few years , and it has halted the socio-economic progress of Pakistan .

2) Global Inflation : Trickled down to Pakistan

3) Ways in which inflation has crippled the socio-economic progress of Pakistan

a) Devaluation of PKR : A big hit to the economy

b) Boom bust cycle of PKR discourages investors : A dent to socio-economic progress

c) Inflation led to higher electricity bills; affecting the domestic and industrial sector .

d) Reduced purchasing power; shaking the socio-economic fabric

e) Rising inflation leads to unrest in the society : Writ of state ?

f) Political instability leads to economic instability

DATE: 1/1

- g) Overall living standards deteriorate
- h) Industries being downsized or completely shut down : Reversing the socio - economic progress
- i) Reduction in exports, increasing imports, increasing trade deficit
- j) Increase in unemployment : Youth a burden on the state
- k) Surge in crime rate : socio - economic depletion
- l) Brain drain : The last nail in the coffin of socio - economic progress of Pakistan .

4) Conclusion

Inflation has crippled our socio - economic progress

The socio - economic progress of a state depends upon multiple factors including its literacy, security and overall political dynamics. However, one of the biggest causes of a state's progress is its financial standing as it leads to social as well as economic progress of a state. Over the past few years, due to multiple reasons, Pakistan has been a prey to inflation which has crippled the socio - economic progress of the country . on the outer side ,

DATE: — / — / —

due to the lip service of politicians, and a diplomatic handling of the situation, the actual extent to which the socio-economic fabric has depleted is kept out of sight.

However, there are multiple interconnected evidences to prove that inflation has become the worst enemy of Pakistan's socio-economic progress. More than any other issue, inflation has not only weakened the social progress but also halted the economic progress which has cataclysmic implications on the socio-economic progress of the country. From the deterioration of domestic living standards to shutting down of businesses, inflation has taken toll of the overall socio-economic fabric of Pakistan.

As redundant as the word 'inflation' seems, the world has collectively borne witness to it. Just like the great depression and global recession, the world is once again facing a record breaking inflation due to the Covid-19 pandemic followed by the Russian war on Ukraine. These one after the other global events impacted the overall economic progress of the so-called globalized world, which trickled down to underdeveloped countries with a higher intensity; Pakistan is one of them. The global inflation

DATE: 1/1

individually impacted countries, and coming to Pakistan, it has various negative impacts on the overall progress of the country. Just like the world, this inflation has hit Pakistan making it go through tough times, like Sri Lanka did.

The first and direct impact of this inflation globally led to the dwindling of currencies across the world. In lieu of that, the Pakistani rupee devalued with a visible margin. As the world revolves around a standard currency, i.e. dollar these days, the devaluation of PKR has a negative impact on the socio-political and specifically the socio-economic progress of the country. This devaluation has reversed the reserves of people as well as the state. The loans on the state increased because of the devaluation in currency and as a result, the increased loans lead to an added pressure on the masses in the form of hefty taxes and additional cuts.

Stable economy is a dream of any investor, and this boom and burst cycle of the changing value of PKR discourages both foreign and local investors from investing in businesses in Pakistan. The foreign direct

DATE: 1/1

investment decreases, and simultaneously the local investors also hesitate because of the unpredictability of the value of PKR against dollar. This unannounced rise and fall, impacts the socio-economic progress of the country because the world is modernizing but in Pakistan, the fear of collapse of businesses does not let the investors invest in the first place. As a result, the progress that a society sees with economic ventures seems crippling in the country right now.

Not only the trust of investors, but also the pockets of locals have been shaken by the current inflation. Electricity prices have sky-rocketted due to multiple reasons including the overall increase in fuel prices across the globe and hefty taxes imposed by the government which led to an unprecedented rise in electricity bills. This has crippled the socio-economic progress because a major chunk of money in domestic budget as well as industrial budget goes to paying bills. As a result, in the past two years, electricity bills have been a major point of attention while addressing the issue of inflation. Not as simple as it seems, electricity is the basic need

DATE: 1/1

and the inability to afford it leads to the crippling of socio-economic progress.

The irony is that the inflation does not stop at electricity bills, instead the rise in fuel prices leads to a rise in prices of all commodities of domestic and industrial use. From edible oil to mobil oil, everything has gotten expensive, challenging the purchasing power of the people. This is the first time in the history of the country that despite a major purchase power parity in the society, every sector has been hit hard by the inflation. As a result, when the production cost of industries increases due to inflation, the commodities get expensive and locals cannot purchase it. This leads to the crippling of both socio-economic sectors because their needs are symbiotic with each other's progress.

The inability to meet ones needs leads to a rebellious state of mind, full of aggression. As a result the society's stability reduced to unease leads to an overall unrest in the society. This unrest includes multiple protests against

DATE: 1/1

the major stakeholders of state functioning. They also challenge the writ of state by taking bold steps like burning the electricity bills, taking out mass rallies and what not. This leads to an overall deterioration in state functioning as the society is charged against the government. As a result, the social unrest also discourages economic activities leading to an overall crippled socio-economic progress of the country.

Not only indirectly, but also directly the political instability caused by the unrest leads to economic instability. This is due to the mass aggression, and increasing mistrust in the government due to rising inflation. Resultantly there gets a breeding space for political polarization. Demagoguery cloaked in the guise of populism leads to increased tensions and this has a direct impact on economy. The instability of economy leads to multiple problems and the pre-existing inflation worsens it. In a nutshell, the political instability bred by inflation hampers socio-economic progress because because of changed priorities and diverted attention. This leads to economic instability due to the

DATE: 1/1

destroyed image for both internal and external investors, halting the overall progress.

Moreover, the instability in politics and economy leads to deteriorated living standards. Although, people still manage the basic necessities somehow, yet their living standards have deteriorated. Due to inflation and added tariffs on imports, people have skipped to the second class products due to high costs. As a result, the ones using air conditioners all day, now use it only at night, and too has become heavy on pocket. This means that inflation has targeted living standards which cripple the social progress. This is similarly linked with the economic progress as companies have either increased prices, reduced the quantity or started compromising quality; this also indirectly affects the public health. Hence, inflation has played a visible role in deteriorating the living standards, socially, as well as economically.

In light of deterioration due higher product prices and electricity

DATE: 1/1

bills, industries are either being downsized or completely shut down. This implies that instead of setting up more industries that would lead to the socio-economic progress, the already existing ones are not giving the desired progress. This as a result shows that industries are highly hit by inflation and the overall cost of production is not viable for the industries. Hence, the progress that was dependent on industries paused and ultimately reversed.

As the local industries got hit, the production in the country was not enough to meet the needs of people. This led to an increase in the imports and decrease in exports. Increased imports bring with them increased prices with taxes and tariffs and an overall increase in the trade deficit. As a result, reduction in exports and an increase in imports led to the reversal of socio-economic progress as not only people were hit by higher prices but also the industries were targetted leading to a huge burden on the state's economy. Hence, the trade deficit and increased exports impact the

DATE: 1/1

socio-economic progress of the country and rising inflation will make it continue unless some major steps to curb it are taken.

Another impact of closed industries amid rising inflation is unemployment. Thus unemployment or stagflation, instead of making the youth a strength, makes it a burden for the state. Rising number of jobless people leads to the socio-economic deterioration of the state because not only are they not contributing to the country but also, at the same time they are sucking on what the state has. As a result, instead of lifting the state, they become a burden. Amid inflation, the state cannot create multiple jobs for the people due to its economic limitations, and as a result unemployment leads to an overall socio-economic distress in the society.

Once a person does not find a job, there are high chances of him looking for unfair means to make both ends meet. In case of unemployment or lower salaries in this time of inflation, many people

tend to commit crimes to make money through unfair means. At social level, it includes theft, robbery, or corruption and at state level it leads to money laundering, gambling, embezzlement, and other white collar crimes. This in short creates an environment of earning through unfair means crippling the socio-economic progress of the country.

Of the many distressed people, a few are those who despite having a proper qualification do not get appropriate jobs. Such people instead of earning through unfair means, leave the country and look for job opportunities abroad. This phenomenon in simple words is called brain drain. Brain drain acts as the last nail in a state's coffin of progress because the education and skills they possess also leave along with them in search of a conducive earning environment. The state that gave them subsidized education and skills, bears the brunt of its flawed system that led to the exacerbation of inflation, crippling the socio-economic progress of the state.

DATE: 1/1

To conclude, along with other major factors, inflation has played a huge role in crippling the socio-economic progress of the state. Not only on national level but also individually it has led to the deterioration of socio-economic status. Inflation did not have a direct single-handed impact on the state's socio-economic progress instead it had interconnected impacts, one after the other, that crippled the socio-economic progress of the state at individual and national level. In a nutshell, the global inflation and was led to higher inflation in the state which resulted in shutting down of businesses and reduced job opportunities leading to an overall distressed economic as well as social leading to the halted progress of the state.

Date: 18-12-23

Day: Test 3

Name:

Zirwah Yousaf

Essay

All Men are Equal but some Men
are more equal than others

Outline:

1) Introduction

When it comes to human beings, equality instead of being absolute becomes a relative term where they are treated according to what they bring to the table and where they belong.

2) Absolute Equality is a myth; the world revolves around relative equality

3) Some men are more equal

a) A debate of men being more equal than women: Gender Discrimination

b) Religious inequality: Varies place to place

c) Men of a particular race are more equal: Rosa Parks incident

d) Nationalism, a breeding space for inequality

e) Overall exploitation of men by men: Colonialism

f) Elite capture in state functioning:
The more equal men in charge

Date: 8/1/23

Day: 6

- g) Rich get richer while poor get poorer : Capitalism favours some men
- h) Some men are privileged while others are not
- i) Power politics breeds inequality
- j) Corruption as a proof that some men are more equal
- k) Humanitarian Crises : Different response for Ukraine vs Palestine

4) Conclusion

All Men are Equal but Some Men are More Equal than others

One of the famous English writers, George Orwell, in his book Animal Farm gave a social, political, economic, and existential perspective on equality using the analogy of animals. His famous quote, "All animals are equal but some animals are more equal than others" greatly resonates with the modern world. Trying to fit in the human beings in the same metric, one realizes that human beings in this world are not actually equal. They might claim to be, or

Date:

Day:

they might be equal in the eyes of any other creature or divine entity but among men, all men are not actually equal. There are various things that separate them or differentiate them from one another, and on the basis of exact same things few of them become more equal than others. This includes their inherited race, wealth or power, or acquired influence at a later stage which makes them technically superior to others. Hence all men might be equal in books but in reality they are not.

The reality of equality is quite different from what is taught in theory. Equality among men is never absolute instead it is relative.

In simple words, two people can never be hundred percent equal as they might have diverging features which make one superior to the other.

Theoretically, the equality of men means, equality in treatment, equality in income, equality in power and privileges but it has been witnessed that everything in the world works like a centre and periphery.

One is always the more equal one which automatically makes the other one less equal. As a result, the equality is compared and then decided, as one might be superior to one but inferior to the other. In short, the world has created its own standards on which it treats individuals with equality while giving a little more equality to some people.

Every sector sees a more equal faction and when it comes to gender, it is men in the literal terms. Although the number of genders is evolving but keeping in view the three basic ones, most of the times men enjoy more equality than women, and women enjoy more equality than transgenders. This implies that no matter how much rights are provided to a particular group, there always will be a group with more rights or privileges. As a conclusion, they might be equal yet men are more equal. Looking back to the history of women's struggle for equal opportunities from Mary Wolestonecraft till date and finding out that despite such protest, men

Date:

Day:

enjoy more opportunities than women and transgenders proves that all men might be equal but some are definitely more equal than the others.

Other than gender, religion has been a long lasting bone of contention among the people. Although all the religions promote peace yet all religions consider theirs the perfect one. As a result, preaching general equality for all, yet treating own religion more equally has been seen across the world. Every place has different religious beliefs with different religious priorities and minorities. However, these minorities are not always mistreated yet they are sometimes less equal. This is why the terms like antisemitism and islamophobia are so common in general discourse because religions are not considered equal. Despite a state providing rights to all, a family from a minority religion gets murdered due to xenophobia is an ultimate proof to the statement that some men are more equal than others. Eventually getting freed from the accusations of murdering or harassing minorities, they prove that they are more equal.

Date:

Day:

Not only religion but also racial discrimination leads to some men being treated more equally. Every continent and state has a diverse range of races but the world is mainly divided in white, browns and black. This is pretty much the order of how these people are treated more or less equally. Over the past one century and beyond, black people had been slaves. In books, all men were equal, but in reality, whites were more equal than blacks. There had been multiple injustices proving that blacks were less equal than whites.

The whole era of Apartheid and how Rosa Parks stood up for the rights of blacks shows that it was deeply embedded in the system. Even today, the Philadelphia unrest proves a testament to the fact that blacks and whites are equal but whites are more equal than blacks.

Racial inequalities lead to nationalism which becomes a less personal and more of a political issue. This shows how individuals gather collectively and based on nationalism treat a sector more equally. Although patriotism and

nationalism are the two sides of the same coin yet nationalism always paves way for individual growth with a sense of superiority. The world is full of such examples and the biggest of all in the contemporary time is the rising Hindu Nationalism. This idea of superiority leads to depriving the Indian minorities of their rights. Hence, in the so-called secular country, India, all men are equal but Hindus are more equal than others. In short, 'Nationalism' provides a breeding space for inequality.

The inequality does not stay in one nation, instead it paves its way to other nations as well. The history of colonialism shows how colonizers entered multiple states with the motto of modernization but ended up exploiting the colonies. The men were considered equal but under the 'White Man's Burden' and other narratives of equality, the centre started exploiting the periphery. Now, the people in the periphery might be equal, but the people in centre are more equal than them. This inequality or relative equality led to a century long exploitation of the labour class and still continues in the name of globalization or imperialism.

if the concept of colonization has gone obsolete

Apart from the external exploitation through colonies, the internal lobbies and elite capture also shows how some men are more equal than others. Men who have an influence, mould policies according to their interests and enjoy the outcome. In short, the elite, be it political or economic, has somewhat an edge over the others. As a result, the elite gets what it wants at the expense of others rights and turn out being more equal. The point to be noted here is that elites are not only a third world problem, instead elite capture prevails throughout the world. There is a possibility that the elite of developed countries influences geopolitical decisions instead of general manner, but one way or another they are more equal than others, based on their influence.

Class difference and discrimination is another debate undertaken by many philosophers and Karl Marx is one of them. The class difference in societies is something that will stay forever and

and this discrimination makes some men more equal than others. Although all men are considered equal and basic purpose of capitalism was growth but as discussed earlier, the vested elite interests make things worse. The rich keep getting richer while the poor keep getting poorer due to continuous expansion of the class gap all because of the fact that some men are more equal than others.

Although capitalism breeds rich-poor gap but some men are born naturally with added privileges. Nobody has the choice to decide his place of birth, but where one takes birth decides a lot about how equal he is going to be in the society. The one with a rich background can afford good private education and ultimately secure a good job but a child born to a daily wager who barely affords a public school might not be able to compete. As a matter of fact, they both are apparently equal but the one with more privileges and opportunities is more equal than the other. Sometimes the inequality or relative equality is

not intentional but the circumstances make it look like that.

On the political level, the power politics in the globe does a lot to change the dynamics of equality. Just like in politics, there is no permanent friend or permanent enemy, likewise based on the interests, people are treated less or more equally. Citizens of the states that have good relations or the followers of parties that are on the same page tend to breed in a conducive environment. However, different political views lead to polarization and that is where the bubble of equality bursts, making one more equal than the other. This brings in favouritism, nepotism and other ways through which some men are treated more equally than the others but the fact remains constant that it has nothing to do with someone's capabilities but the overall political interests.

Corruption is another way of proving that in the world where all men are equal, a few are more equal than others. This additional equality is due to someone's greed that eventually turns to favouring a few men and they as a result,

become more equal than others. People who try getting thing done in a morally correct way are entertained equally but those who bribe are usually seen getting things done hassle-free and quicker. This shows that corruption and bribery eventually makes some men more equal than the others.

In an open comparison of who is treated more equally and why, there is an example of the ongoing genocide in Gaza. The world has globalized and with all those international organizations, human rights have been the word of mouth. However, the recent humanitarian crisis in Gaza as compared to that of Ukraine has been dealt differently.

Only because Ukraine was backed by the global powers in the west, every human rights violation was taken into account making it a huge issue. On the contrary, since October 7th, 2023 not a single condemnation of the humanitarian crisis in Gaza has been received from those countries. This shows how on the surface, people are equal but based on interests, and ideologies some men are definitely more equal than others.

To conclude, the world revolves around relative equality and someone somewhere would always be the preferred one. Intended or unintended, there will always be a discrimination or has been in the past which will make a particular person or a group of people more equal than others. This can be named as injustice but sometimes it is the nature's division of facilities and privileges that make one superior over another. Gender, religion, race or class have and will always tend to create some sort of discrimination that leads to treating one more equally. Hence, the absolute equality in this world cannot be achieved because there are various factors that influence the divine. The world can be made a better place, and the divide can be tried to bridge so that all men can be equal, but even then some men will be more equal than the others.