

**PRÉCIS**

**Central Superior Services Examination (CSS) 2019**

**Passage.**

I think modern educational theorists are inclined to attach too much importance to the negative virtue of not interfering with children, and too little to the positive merit of enjoying their company. If you have the sort of liking for children that many people have for horses or dogs, they will be apt to respond to your suggestions, and to accept prohibitions, perhaps with some good-humoured grumbling, but without resentment. It is no use to have the sort of liking that consists in regarding them as a field for valuable social endeavour, or what amounts to the same thing as an outlet for power-impulses. No child will be grateful for an interest in him that springs from the thought that he will have a vote to be secured for your party or a body to be sacrificed to king and country. The desirable sort of interest is that which consists in spontaneous pleasure in the presence of children, without any ulterior purpose. Teachers who have this quality will seldom need to interfere with children's freedom, but will be able to do so, when necessary, without causing psychological damage.

Unfortunately, it is utterly impossible for over-worked teachers to preserve an instinctive liking for children; they are bound to come to feel towards them as the proverbial confectioner's apprentice does towards macaroons. I do not think that education ought to be anyone's whole profession: it should be undertaken for at most two hours a day by people whose remaining hours are spent away from children. The society of the young is fatiguing, especially when strict discipline is avoided. Fatigue, in the end, produces irritation, which is likely to express itself somehow, whatever theories the harassed teacher may have taught himself or herself to believe. The necessary friendliness cannot be preserved by self-control alone. But where it exists, it should be unnecessary to have rules in advance as to how "naughty" children are to be treated, since impulse is likely to lead to the right decision, and almost any decision will be right if the child feels that you like him. No rules, however wise, are a substitute for affection and tact.

confectioner's apprentice does  
 towards macaroons  
 hours, while staying away from the  
 children for the whole day as  
 staying in children's company is bringing  
 on they are not duty to  
 should be duty  
 and



## Teaching Young ones

The writer thinks that, modern educationists prefer non-interference with children. If one likes children like pets, children also reciprocate that liking. They do not admire one who likes them due to some motives. People who naturally enjoy children's company are more suitable - such teachers. Such ~~teachers~~ do not interfere with children's freedom unnecessarily. It is difficult to preserve such behaviour. According to the author, interaction between teachers <sup>and students</sup> should not be more than two hours in a day. Too much interaction leads to fatigue and irritation. Where friendliness between teacher and students exists, no rules are needed to discipline students. As there is no substitute to tact and affection.

(108 words)