CSS 2012

Q.2. Write a précis of the following passage and suggest a suitable title. (20+5=25

One of the most ominous and discreditable symptoms of the want of candour of present-day sociology is the deliberate neglect of the population question. It is, or should be transparently clear that, if the state is resolved, on humanitarian grounds, to inhibit the operation of natural selection, some rational regulation of population, both as regards quality and quantity, is imperatively necessary. There is no self-acting adjustment, apart from starvation, of numbers to the means of subsistence. If all natural checks are removed, a population in advance of the optimum number will be produced and maintained at the cost of a reduction in the standard of living. When this pressure begins to be felt, that section of the population which is capable of reflection and which has a standard of living which may be lost will voluntarily restrict its numbers, even to the point of failing to replace death by an equivalent number of new births; while the underworld, which always exists in every civilized society. The failure and misfits and derelicts, moral and physical will exercise no restraint and will be a constantly increasing drain upon the national resources. The population will thus be recruited in a very undue proportion by those strata of society which do not possess the qualities of useful citizens.

The importance of the problem would seem to be sufficiently obvious. But politicians know that the subject is unpopular. The urban have no votes. Employers are like a surplus of labour, which can be drawn upon when trade is good. Militarists want as much food for powder as they can get. Revolutionists instinctively oppose any real remedy for social evils; they know that every unwanted child is a potential insurgent. All three can appeal to a Quasi-Religious prejudice, resting apparently on the ancient theory of natural rights which were supposed to include the right of unlimited procreation. This objection is now chiefly urged by celibate or childless priests; but it is held with such fanatical vehemence that the fear of losing the votes which they control is a welcome excuse for the laser sort of politicians to shelve the subject as inopportune. The socialist calculation is probably erroneous; for experience has shown that it is aspiration, not desperation, that makes revolutions.

Précis:

First Draft

this guestion is a victim of studied neglect on the

	The issue of population explosion is
1	being negated continuously by the sociologists
de de la constante de la const	which is posing threat to the natural
	resources. It will be at the cost of living
	standards, if population is not controlled
	Those are two types of communities one who
	restricts their offspring numbers to maintain
	their living, while other takes no effect
	because they are not concerned with producing
	useful citizens for the society- Even politicians
	donot give du attention to this issue. Every
	group in the country is concerned with its
	own benefits, donot raise their voices for the
	rune The religious group connects increased
	number of offeprings with God's will Due to
	different opinions of society, politicians
	(doesnot want to la just secure their vote
	bank. Controlling population is the hopefood
	which must be taken under consideration
	by the sociologists (111 words)
	Title:-Population explosion: A threat to
	natural resources
	Population controls: A responsibility