

(Q) Is peace possible in a world full of weapons

1- Introduction

Thesis statement: With many countries around the globe actively in pursuit of more lethal weaponry, the quest for global peace remains skeptical. Albeit advanced weapons have been effectively used as a source of deterrence in a few cherry-picked scenarios, claims that suggest long and sustainable peace can be achieved in a world full of weapons seems far-fetched.

2- Weapons are akin to a double edged sword; it can bring peace and also destroy it.

3- Weapons pose a threat to global peace.

a- Destruction can extend beyond the borders of conflicting countries.

b- Countries that possess advance weaponry, pose a threat to those who do not.

c- More militarization leads to more conflict.

d- Nuclear proliferation is a threat to all man-kind.

e- A minor misadventure can pave the way for global destruction.

4- How can weapon bring peace in the world.

a- Weapons can be used as a source of deterrence

b- Violence is more linked with state policies rather than weaponry.

- c- Violence is in the nature of man-kind if they fail to equip themselves with weapons, they are at risk of invasion.
- d- Global deweaponisation is an utopic concept.
- e- Weapons are used to suppress terrorist organisations.

5- Conflict and destruction inevitable in a world full of weapons.

- a- Weapons have only cause more harm than good.
- b- Strong military and lethal weaponry are the driving forces of an aggressive foreign policy of a state.
- c- Issue of Kashmir remains unresolved, because both stakeholders are nuclear-armed.
- d- Possession of lethal weaponry can add fuel to the fire of greed in humans.
- e- Nuclear weapons in terrorist hand can ~~risk~~ put lives of millions at risk.

6- Conclusion: